Appendix 2b

	PROPOSAL FORM FOR AGENDA ITEMS					
N						
	AME OF SCRUTINY COMMITTEE ATE OF MEETING / TIMESCALE	Communities 23 rd January 2014				
FC	OR CONSIDERATION	-				
TI	TLE OF REPORT	Concept Design of Green Space – West Rhyl Housing Improvement Project (WRHIP)				
PURPOSE		The WRHIP is potentially the most complex project undertaken by the Council in partnership with Welsh Government and Clwyd Alyn Housing Association. The project seeks to redress the imbalance of housing tenure in west Rhyl and reduce the density of housing, particularly HMOs by acquiring properties in the heart of the ward, demolishing them and introducing an open green space and re-modelling the surrounding properties for family accommodation. DCC are responsible for delivering the Green Space in the heart of West Rhyl which has entailed the acquisition of 36 properties for demolition in order to create the park. Despite extensive consultation over a number of years which revealed that open space in West Rhyl was desirable, there has been some limited community opposition to this proposal. In order to overcome this negativity the Design Consultant is instructed to carry out extensive public engagement, particularly from residents who will benefit from the proposal and whose views will shape the design. In addition a west Rhyl ward Member who is a Green Space stakeholder group member has requested that in addition to Cabinet approval, the proposals are considered by Communities Scrutiny Committee to ensure that the community has been effectively engaged, and the design proposals are acceptable in terms of ongoing maintenance				
	scrutinised?	Effective community engagement Concept design captures the community's desires The design ensures easy and affordable on going maintenance				
	3. Is it necessary/desirable for witnesses to attend e.g. lead members, officers/external experts?	Desirable for ward Members and Head of Environmental Services to be present Assurance that the design is in accordance with the brief given should a challenge occur				
	4. What will the committee achieve by considering the report?					
	5. Score the topic from 0 – 4	Aims & Priorities	Impact			
	on aims & priorities and impact (see overleaf)*	4	4			
Δ	DDITIONAL COMMENTS		l			
Ri ne re	EPORTING PATH – what is the ext step? Are Scrutiny's commendations to be reported sewhere?	SIG report 24 th September 2013 Audit and Finance approval of tender submission 27 th September 2013 Concept design to Cabinet on 14 th January 2014 Scrutiny Committee 23 rd January 2014 Detailed design to Cabinet briefing on 3 rd March 2014				
A	UTHOR	Carol Evans – West Rhyl Housing Improvement Project Manager				

Please complete the following checklist:

	Yes	No
Is the topic already being addressed satisfactorily?		Х
Is Scrutiny likely to result in service improvements or other measurable benefits?	Х	
Does the topic concern a poor performing service or a high budgetary commitment?	Х	
Are there adequate resources / realistic possibility of adequate resources to achieve the objective(s)?	Х	
Is the Scrutiny activity timely, i.e. will scrutiny be able to recommend changes to the service delivery, policy, strategy, etc?	X	
Is the topic linked to corporate or scrutiny aims and priorities?	Х	
Has the topic been identified as a risk in the Corporate Risk Register or is it the subject of an adverse internal audit or external regulator report?	X	

*The following table is to be used to guide the scores given:

Score	Aims & Priorities	Impact
0	No links to corporate/scrutiny	No potential benefits
	aims and priorities	
1	No links to corporate/scrutiny	Minor potential benefits affecting
	aims and priorities but a	only one ward/customer/client group
	subject of high public concern	
2	Some evidence of links, but	Minor benefits to two
	indirect	groups/moderate benefits to one
3	Good evidence linking the	Moderate benefits to more than one
	topic to both aims and	group/substantial benefits to one
	priorities	
4	Strong evidence linking both	Substantial community-wide
	aims and priorities, and has a	benefits
	high level of public concern	

SCORING

Aims & Priorities	rities
------------------------------	--------

	Ams a montes							
4	Possible topic for to be timetabled	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Priority topic for S urgent considerati					
3								
2	Reject topic for Scrutiny – topic to be circulated to		Possible topic for Scrutiny – to be timetabled appropriately					
	members for info							
1	purposes							
0	1	2	3	4				

Impact